

Black Hole Microstate Counting using Pure D-brane Systems

Swapnamay Mondal

HRI, Allahabad, India

11.19.2015

UC Davis, Davis

based on [JHEP10\(2014\)186 \[arXiv:1405.0412\]](#) and [upcoming paper](#)

with [Abhishek Chowdhury](#), [Richard Garavuso](#) & [Ashoke Sen](#)

Plan of the talk

- Overview
- Our work
 - * Motivation
 - * Our system
 - * Warm up : an easier toy
 - * Actual system
 - * Towards a conjecture
- Conclusion

Summary

- Long term goal:
to perform exact microscopic counting in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories using pure D brane systems.
- In these works, we test our methods for an intersecting D brane system in type IIA string theory, compactified on T^6 and our computation yields the expected result.
- All microstates we find carry zero angular momentum.

conjecture : At a generic point in moduli space, microstates of a single centred black hole all carry zero angular momentum.

Our conjecture has interesting impact on fuzzball program.

Overview

Black Holes: General Introduction

- ▶ Special solutions of Einstein's equations, in which there is a region of space time, bounded by an "event horizon", from which nothing can escape!
- ▶ They have been observed in our universe.
(Hence not just a fancy theoretical stuff !)
- ▶ Black holes solutions are completely specified by only a few parameters (like mass, charge, angular momentum)
⇒ regarded as thermodynamical system, there seems to be no microstates, hence no entropy.

Black Holes should have entropy

What if black holes do not have entropy ?

- ✘ **2nd law in danger** : What happens to the entropy of a bucket of hot water, when thrown into a black hole ?
- ✘ **postdiction in danger** : If black holes do not have any microstates, how does it remember the state of the star that collapsed into a black hole ?

Black Holes better have microstates and entropy.

Any candidate for entropy of a black hole?

- ▶ Laws of black hole mechanics \Rightarrow area of event horizon never decreases.
- ▶ **Black Hole entropy** \propto **Black Hole area**
[proportionality constant] = $length^{-2}$ (in units $k_B = 1$).
- ▶ But there is no natural length scales in classical physics!
- ▶ There is **Planck length** in quantum gravity.

Lesson: black hole entropy is a window to quantum gravity !

Importance for a theory of quantum gravity

■ What is the statistical understanding of entropy ?

Since classical gravity does not answer this, it is for a quantum theory of gravity to answer this question.

■ A test for quantum gravity :

There may be many phases of a theory of quantum gravity, many of them would have black hole solutions. For each of them this question can be posed and must be answered.

■ An opportunity !

An experimental test of any theory of quantum gravity is highly unlikely in near future.

⇒ Theoretical tests such as this provide opportunities to check whether such a theory is consistent.

Score card of string theory

very high score !

- * In string theory one **can answer** this question (with high accuracy) for phases with high enough supersymmetry.

Many fascinating features of string theory (SUSY, dualities, AdS/CFT, extra dimensions) appear together in this problem. Thus success in this direction validates the very structure of string theory.

- * **Considerable progress** has been achieved for $\mathcal{N} = 8$, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories.
- * **Not much** achievement for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories.

The general story

- ▶ In supersymmetric theories certain quantities (called **index**) **do not change** as one changes the coupling of the theory.
- ▶ For supersymmetric black holes, one can **relate degeneracy to index**. It is enough to be able to compute the index for any coupling.
- ▶ Black holes are good descriptions for **small G , large GM** .
- ▶ **microscopic description** : For **smaller G , small GM** gravity is decoupled and the system contains stringy objects (like D branes). Computing index is easier in this description.
- ▶ How to get to microscopic description?
Track the charges carried by the black hole.

Our Work

Motivation

D brane systems are special

Only option for microscopic system in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories
(CY3 compactifications).

⇒ Need to develop methods of microstate counting using pure D brane systems.

Steps . . .

- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory (T^6 compactification).

(for smallest charges positive result in [JHEP 10\(2014\)186](#) , [arXiv:1405.0412 \[hep-th\]](#) , recent progress for larger charges.)

- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory (K3 compactification)
- ▶ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory, (Calabi Yau compactification)

Our system

Our system

- * 1/8 BPS black holes in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory.
- * Relevant index is $B_{14} = \frac{1}{14!} \text{Tr}(-1)^F (2J_3)^{14}$ (same as Witten index with Goldstinos removed).
- * Has already been computed by Shih, Strominger, Yin.
 N_1 KK monopoles associated with x^5 , N_2 units of momentum along the x^5 , N_3 D1 branes along x^5 , N_4 D5-branes along $x^5 \times T^4$ and N_5 units of momentum along the x^4 .

Our system

- * Using various dualities, this can be mapped to a pure D brane system.

Table : Brane configuration

brane	123	45	67	89
N_1 D2		✓		
N_2 D2			✓	
N_3 D2				✓
N_4 D6		✓	✓	✓

- * First let us consider $(N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4) = (1, 1, 1, 1)$ case.

The index is known to be **12** in this case.

What to do ?

- ▶ Calculate Witten Index for the given brane system (after throwing the Goldstinos and Godstones).
- ▶ Only minimum energy modes are relevant
→ concentrate on 0 modes.
- ▶ Witten Index in the SUSY QM (that lives on the intersection of the branes).
- ▶ But how to get that SUSY QM ?

What to do ?

- ▶ Calculate massless open string spectrum in this brane background.
- ▶ Arrange in SUSY multiplets.
- ▶ SUSY dictates their interactions (mostly).
- ▶ Witten Index = Euler characteristic of the vacuum manifold.
- ▶ Write down the potential, calculate the Euler number of the vacuum manifold.

Warm up: 2 intersecting branes

2 Intersecting D-branes

Table : Brane configuration

brane	123	45	67	89
1 D2		✓		
1 D2			✓	

SUSY multiplets

Preserved number of supercharges = $32/(2 \times 2) = 8$
 \Rightarrow Arrange fields in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplets .

Table : $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplets

Fields	$\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplet
$V^{(i)}, \Phi_3^{(i)}$	$\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplets
$\Phi_1^{(i)}, \Phi_2^{(i)}$	$\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplet
$Z^{(12)}, Z^{(21)}$	$\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplet

Physical interpretation of bosonic fields

Table : Interpretation of on brane fields

Fields	Physical Interpretation
$V^{(1)}$	1, 2, 3 coordinates of 1-st brane.
$\phi_1^{(1)}$	Wilson lines of the 1-st brane along 4, 5 .
$\phi_2^{(1)}$	6, 7 coordinates of 1-st brane.
$\phi_3^{(1)}$	8, 9 coordinates of 1-st brane.

Interactions of the multiplets

Table : Interactions

Fields	Interactions
$V, \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3$	$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM (free for U(1))
$V^{(1)} - V^{(2)}, \Phi_3^{(1)} - \Phi_3^{(2)}, Z^{(12)}, Z^{(21)}$	$\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector + $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hyper

Superpotentials

- $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}=4} \sim \text{Tr} (\Phi_1[\Phi_2, \Phi_3])$

vanishes for Abelian case.

- $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}=2} \sim Z^{(12)}(\Phi_3^{(1)} - \Phi_3^{(2)})Z^{(21)}$

Mixed strings sense separation of branes.

Goldstones

Table : Goldstones

Goldstone	Physical interpretation
$A_\mu^{(1)} + A_\mu^{(2)}$	c.o.m along flat directions
$\phi_1^{(1)}$	Wilson line
$\phi_2^{(2)}$	Wilson line
$\phi_2^{(1)}$	1st brane moving along 2nd brane
$\phi_1^{(2)}$	2nd brane moving along 1st brane
$\phi_3^{(1)} + \phi_3^{(2)}$	c.o.m along x^8, x^9

6 Goldstones \rightarrow 6 Goldstinos $\rightarrow 4 \times 6 = 24$ broken SUSY
 $\therefore 32 - 24 = 8$ remaining SUSY.

The actual problem

The actual problem

Table : Brane configuration

brane	123	45	67	89
1 D2		✓		
1 D2			✓	
1 D2				✓
1 D6		✓	✓	✓

► preserved SUSY : $\mathcal{N} = 1$

► The Lagrangian :

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^4 (\mathcal{N} = 4 \text{ SYM})_i + \sum_{(ij); i,j=1}^4 (\mathcal{N} = 2)_{(ij)} + \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}=1}$$

Various pieces of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}=1}$

■ $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}=1} = \mathcal{W}_1 + \mathcal{W}_2$

■ $\mathcal{W}_1 = \sqrt{2}C \sum_{(ij); i, j=1}^4 (\text{sign}) Z^{ij} Z^{jk} Z^{ki}$

- * has origin in 3 string interaction.
- * the constant C and the signs are in principle calculable from 3 string amplitudes.

■ $\mathcal{W}_2 = c^{(12)}(\Phi_3^1 - \Phi_3^2) + \dots$

- * caused by metric and B field fluctuations.
- * as a side effect this introduces FI parameters.
- * both \mathcal{W}_2 and FI parameters have the effect of making the mixed strings non vanishing.

The vacuum manifold

$$V = V_D + V_F$$

■ D term :

D term eqn + gauge invariance = complexified gauge invariance

$$\therefore U(1)^3 \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$$

- * 6 ϕ -s, all neutral.
- * 12 z-s, all charged $\rightarrow 12-3=9$ dimensional toric variety.

■ F term :

- * ϕ -s are uniquely fixed in terms of z-s \rightarrow can be safely forgotten.
- * 9 equations involving only z-s. Thus,

vacuum manifold \rightarrow intersection of hypersurfaces in a toric variety.

The equations (in homogeneous coordinates)

■ ϕ eqns :

$$z_{ij}z_{ji} = -c_{ij}$$

⇒ all z -s are non-zero

⇒ a single patch of the toric variety suffices.

⇒ can be treated as **equations on \mathbb{C}^9** .

■ z eqns :

* ϕ -s are fixed in terms of z -s

* consistency conditions:

$$z_{23}z_{31}z_{12} + z_{23}z_{34}z_{42} = z_{32}z_{21}z_{13} + z_{32}z_{24}z_{43}$$

$$z_{24}z_{41}z_{12} + z_{24}z_{43}z_{32} = z_{42}z_{21}z_{14} + z_{42}z_{23}z_{34}$$

$$z_{34}z_{42}z_{23} - z_{34}z_{41}z_{13} = z_{43}z_{31}z_{14} + z_{43}z_{32}z_{24}$$

■ 9 equations on 9 \mathbb{C} variables ⇒ **vacuum manifold is 0 dimensional**

Affine coordinates (on relevant patch)

$$u_1 \equiv z_{12} z_{21}$$

$$u_2 \equiv z_{23} z_{32}$$

$$u_3 \equiv z_{31} z_{13}$$

$$u_4 \equiv z_{14} z_{41}$$

$$u_5 \equiv z_{24} z_{42}$$

$$u_6 \equiv z_{34} z_{43}$$

$$u_7 \equiv z_{12} z_{24} z_{41}$$

$$u_8 \equiv z_{13} z_{34} z_{41}$$

$$u_9 \equiv z_{23} z_{34} z_{42}$$

The final result

Number of solutions = 12

exactly the expected result !

larger charges: difficulty

Natural attempt \rightarrow formulate the problem in terms gauge invariant objects.

- * variables are now **vectors and matrices**.
- * Affine coordinates \rightarrow generators of the **ring of invariants**.
- * Generally such a ring contains **more generators** than naively expected and some compenstaing **syzygies**.
We are unaware of any straightforward formula for the generators (and syzygies) of this ring.
checking by hand is a **hopeless task**.

larger charges: possible methods

■ nice method : Hilbert series + computer algebra

- ▶ **Hilbert series**: knows about number of monomials for any given charge, for a graded polynomial ring.
- ▶ If the vacuum variety is zero dimensional, the the number of points can be read from the Hilbert series (after some manipulations).
- ▶ Given the variables and their charges, **Macaulay2** can generate the Hilbert series.
- ▶ **did not work out due to computational limitations :(**

■ naive method : Gauge fix !

It works !

$(1,1,1,2)$ and $(1,1,1,3)$

- ▶ We are able to handle these cases by gauge fixing.
- ▶ Degeneracies are known to be **56** for $(1,1,1,2)$ and **208** for $(1,1,1,3)$.
- ▶ We are able to get the same result.

Towards a conjecture . . .

zero angular momenta microstates

- * Matching index does not imply one to one matching of the microstates.
- * In gravity side, (single centred) SUSY black holes define an ensemble of states with strictly 0 angular momenta, i.e. all bosonic.
- * In our work we are able to capture the microstates themselves and find they are all zero angular momentum as well !
- * **suspicion : Is this true at a generic point of moduli space ?**

suspicion to conjecture

known results do not contradict the proposed conjecture.

- * When blackhole description is valid, microstates of single centred black holes are all zero angular momentum.
- * In existing computations, index usually takes contribution from both bosonic and fermionic states.
But such computations usually take various moduli to vanish, hence are not done in a generic point of moduli space.
- * On the contrary, our computation requires turning on various moduli, hence is being done at a "generic point".

guideline for fuzzball program : In order to be trustable as black hole microstates, solutions must be constructed at a generic point of moduli space and have zero angular momentum there.

Conclusion

Summary and scorecard

- * Initial motivation: to develop methods for microstate counting using pure D brane systems.
- * We tested our methods for $1/8$ BPS pure D brane configuration in type IIA theory for a few small charges.
These at the least are some more non trivial checks of U duality.
- * All our microstates are zero angular momentum and hence in one to one correspondence with black hole microstates.
- * In the view of other known results, we are led to the conjecture that at a generic point of moduli space all microstates of a single centred black hole have zero angular momentum.

Future tasks

- * Counting the index for large charges.
- * Apply similar techniques to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory.
(We are thinking of starting with T^4/\mathbb{Z}_2 and then blowing up to $K3$.)
- * Apply similar techniques to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory.

thank you !



The equations (in affine coordinates)

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_{13} u_7^2 u_9^2 - m_{23} m_{34} m_{24}^2 u_7 u_8 + m_{24} u_7 u_8 u_9^2 - m_{24} m_{23} m_{12} u_8^2 &= 0 \\
 u_7^2 u_9 - u_7 u_9^2 + m_{23} m_{24} m_{34} u_7 - m_{12} m_{14} m_{24} u_9 &= 0 \\
 u_8^2 u_9 + u_8 u_9^2 - m_{23} m_{24} m_{34} u_8 - m_{13} m_{14} m_{34} u_9 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

with $m_{ij} = -c_{ij}$

The system concerned

<p>Original System</p> <p>IIB on T^6, D1-D5 system (some results are known here)</p>	<p>D Dual</p> <p>IIA on T^6, only R-R charges (computations \Rightarrow check of U duality)</p>
<p>KK along 4 momentum along 5 D1-brane along 5 D5-brane along 56789 momentum along 4</p>	<p>D2-branes along 45 D2-branes along 67 D2-branes along 89 D6-branes along 456789 D4-branes along 4589</p>

Dualities relating two systems

- 1 T duality along 4-5
- 2 T duality along 6-7
- 3 S duality
- 4 T duality along 5-8-9

Thumb Rules: S Duality

Initial configuration	Final configuration
momentum	momentum
F1	D1
D1	F1
KK monopole	KK monopole
NS5 brane	D5 brane
D3 brane	D3 brane

Table : S Duality

Thumb Rules: T Duality

Initial configuration	Final configuration
momentum (4)	F1 (4)
F1 (4)	momentum (4)
momentum (a), $a \neq 4$	momentum (a)
F1 (a), $a \neq 4$	F1 (a)
KK monopole (4)	NS5 (56789)
NS5 (5-6-7-8-9)	KK monopole (4)
KK monopole (a), $a \neq 4$	KK monopole (a), $a \neq 4$
NS 5 (4) $\times T^4$	NS5 (4) $\times T^4$

Table : T Duality (along X^4)

on the signs in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{N}=1}$

- ▶ Look at exchange symmetries such as $(x^4 \leftrightarrow x^6, x^5 \leftrightarrow x^7)$, alongwith exchange of brane indices.
- ▶ various components of g_{ij}, b_{ij} gets exchanges and/or picks up signs \rightarrow so do c^{ij} -s.
- ▶ Through \mathcal{W}_2 this affects Φ -s, that in turn affect Z -s through $ZZ\Phi$.
- ▶ Demanding invariance of \mathcal{W}_1 gives a set of possible choices of relative signs.
- ▶ All these choices are related through $Z^{ij} \rightarrow -Z^{ij}$ field redefinitions.
- ▶ We work with the choice where only $Z^{13}Z^{34}Z^{41}$ term appears with negative sign.